UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/574,954	04/07/2006	Toshikazu Suganuma	80360(47762)	7305	
	7590 03/31/201 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 5587	<i>1</i> 4	CHAUDRY, ATIF H			
BOSTON, MA	02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/31/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/574,954		SUGANUMA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		ATIF H. CH	AUDRY	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this operiod for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <i>04 Ja</i>	anuary 2010						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .								
′ _	/ 							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		in paires data	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	3 3.3.2.3.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/s	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe	ed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/s	6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ed to.							
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that	•		· -	-				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		=			FR 1 121(d)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	joolog to by the Lx	arimier. Het		, totion of form 1	102.			
<u>-</u>		, .	05110000440()	(1) (6)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	-	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(a) or (t).				
·- ·- ·-	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
<u>=</u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/574,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the claims

Applicant's amendment as filed on 01/04/2010 has been entered. Claims 1, 8, and 12 have been amended. Currently claims 1, 6-8, 10, 12 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 6-8, and 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al. (JP2000208471) in view of Laverdiere (US PG Pub 20050173003) further in view of Shirakashi (US PG Pub 20040206634) and Ito et al. (JP60048160).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,954

Art Unit: 3753

4. Ota et al. (abstract, Fig. 1) discloses a liquid supply apparatus for and illustrates a method of supplying an electrolytic aqueous solution (supply liquid) to ultrapure water (primary fluid) comprising of a supply section having a supply liquid tube, and primary section comprising a primary circulation tube. In operation, the supply section fluid must inherently have larger pressure than the primary section in order to inject the additive liquid into the primary fluid. Ota et al. discloses pressure to regulate the pressure. Ota et al. fails to disclose a hollow fiber shape circulation tube as pressure/flow regulators. Laverdiere (page 7, 2nd column, lines 30-35) teaches a fluid flow controller using hollow fiber tube to regulate pressure drops and thus control the flow rate. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the mixing device disclosed by Ota et al. with hollow fiber tube as taught by Laverdiere in order to provide a convenient method of pressure and flow control. Ota et al. fails to disclose keeping the supply liquid pressure constant. Ito et al. (abstract. Figure) teaches a method of adding one liquid 17 to another liquid 5 at a constant supply pressure to maintain a desired mixing ratio. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the mixing device disclosed by Ota et al. with supply liquid at constant pressure as taught by Ito et al. in order to maintain desired mixing ratio. Ota et al. fails to disclose optimum ranges of tube diameter, flow rate, concentration ratio, or pressure ratio. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the claimed optimum ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/574,954

Art Unit: 3753

the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 4

- 5. Claims 1, 6-8, and 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ota et al. (JP2000208471) in view of Kumano et al. (US PG Pub 20060144777) further in view of Shirakashi (US PG Pub 20040206634) and Ito et al. (JP60048160).
- 6. Ota et al. (abstract, Fig. 1) discloses a liquid supply apparatus for and illustrates a method of supplying an electrolytic aqueous solution (supply liquid) to ultrapure water (primary fluid) comprising of a supply section having a supply liquid tube, and primary section comprising a primary circulation tube. In operation, the supply section fluid must inherently have larger pressure than the primary section in order to inject the additive liquid into the primary fluid. Ota et al. discloses pressure to regulate the pressure. Ota et al. fails to disclose a hollow fiber shape circulation tube. Kumano et al. (page 5, para 47) teaches using hollow fibers for optimizing pressure in fluid flow. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the mixing device disclosed by Ota et al. with hollow fiber material for tubing as taught by Kumano et al. in order to provide a convenient method of pressure and flow control. Ota et al. fails to disclose keeping the supply liquid pressure constant. Ito et al. (abstract. Figure) teaches a method of adding one liquid 17 to another liquid 5 at a constant supply pressure to maintain a desired mixing ratio. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the mixing device disclosed by Ota et al. with supply liquid at constant pressure as taught by Ito et al. in order to maintain desired

Application/Control Number: 10/574,954 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

mixing ratio. Ota et al. fails to disclose optimum ranges of tube diameter, flow rate, concentration ratio, or pressure ratio. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the claimed optimum ranges, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Ota et al. has been cited as new primary reference disclosing adding electrolytic solution to ultrapure water and et al. has been cited to show incorporation of "providing supply liquid at constant pressure".

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/574,954 Page 6

Art Unit: 3753

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ATIF H. CHAUDRY whose telephone number is (571)270-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri Alternate Friday off 9-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571)272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Atif H Chaudry/ Examiner, Art Unit 3753

3/23/2010

/Robin O. Evans/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3753